## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

CERTIFIED RESTORATION DRY CLEANING NETWORK, L.L.C.,

PLAINTIFF,

v.		CASE NO. 07-10341
TENKE CORP. d/b/a RITE CLEANERS, and STEPHEN DUBASIK,		HONORABLE SEAN F. COX
DEFENDANTS.	/	

## **PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

In an Opinion issued on December 17, 2007, the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit reversed this Court's May 8, 2007 decision denying Plaintiff Certified Restoration Dry Cleaning Network, L.L.C's Motion for Preliminary Injunction, and remanded this Case with instructions to issue Plaintiff's requested preliminary injunction. In accordance with the Sixth Circuit's instructions,

IT IS ORDERED that, until such time as this Preliminary Injunction is superceded by a future order or judgment of this Court, Defendants TENKE CORPORATION d/b/a RITE CLEANERS, and STEPHEN DUBASIK, along with their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active participation with them who receive actual notice of this Preliminary Injunction by personal service or otherwise, are enjoined from:

1. Violating Section 13.D. of the parties' June 6, 2002 Franchise Agreement by engaging as an owner, shareholder, partner, director, officer, employee, consultant, salesperson,

representative, or agent or in any other capacity in any restoration drycleaning business within:

(1) Portage County, Ohio (1990 U.S. Census Bureau Census Tract 39-133); Mahoning County,
Ohio (1990 U.S. Census Bureau Census Tract 39-099); Trumbull County, Ohio (1990 U.S.
Census Bureau Census Tract 39-155); Geauga County, Ohio (1990 U.S. Census Bureau Census
Tract 39-055); Ashtabula, County (1990 U.S. Census Bureau Census Tract 39-007), the portion
of Cuyahoga County, Ohio comprised of Census Tract 39-035; Mercer County, Pennsylvania
(1990 U.S. Census Bureau Census Tract 42-085)¹; Lawrence County, Pennsylvania (1990 U.S.
Census Bureau Census Tract 47-073); (2) the geographic area encompassed by the Territories of
any CRDN franchisees as of November 6, 2006 (the date of the termination of said Franchise
Agreement); and (3) a geographic area that is contained in a circle having a radius of 25 miles
outward from the borders of 1990 U.S. Census Bureau Census Tracts 39-133, 39-099; 39-155;
39-055; 39-007; 39-035; 42-085; and 47-073.

2. Violating Section 13.B4. of the Franchise Agreement by engaging in any contacts with or by performing services for customers or former customers of the restoration drycleaning franchised business operated under the Franchise Agreement prior to its termination on November 6, 2006.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In Plaintiff's December 20, 2007 submission to the Court requesting issuance of the preliminary injunction following remand, Plaintiff notes that it had inadvertently omitted the two Pennsylvania counties from the proposed order it had initially submitted to this Court along with its Motion for Preliminary Injunction. There has never been a dispute, however, that those two counties were included within Defendants' territory and this Court previously acknowledged those counties in its May 8, 2007 Opinion & Order at page 2, footnote 1. Accordingly, the Court concludes that this Preliminary Injunction should include those counties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>At Plaintiff's request, this Preliminary Injunction does not contain the previously requested paragraph relating to the use of a telephone number.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/Sean F. Cox SEAN F. COX UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: January 3, 2008

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record on January 3, 2008, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

S/Timilyn M. Katsaros
Administrative Assistant